



机械工业出版社

2009.8 / . —

ISBN 978-7-111-27482-7

. - - - -
. U239.5 F301.24

CIP 2009 109119

22 100037

2009 8 1 · 1

170mm×242mm·8.75

ISBN 978-7-111-27482-7

38.00

010 68326294

010 88379007



“

”

2001

1

4

4

17

“

”

“

”

“

”

CBD



“ ”

1950 20 20 50
70

目录


Contents

1.1	100	7000
1.2	2015	2500
1.3		
2.1		
2.2	“ ” “ ”	
2.3		
2.4	“ 2 ”	
3.1		
3.2		
3.3		
4.1		
4.2		



10.1	21
10.2	
11.1	—
11.2	— “ ” “ ”

12.1
12.2
12.3
12.4
12.5
13.1
13.2
13.3
13.4
13.5



第一部分



1863 140
100 7000 30

1927





1965 1 1969 10 1971

1


20 60

23.6 17 1969 10 1

51



“ ”



1 2 8

20 90



2015

1997

3

1

2005

2015

2400

7000

2008

1000

20

50

70

8

●	^h	Ÿ	70	Ÿ	Ě	⌘	⌘	⊖
●		Ī Ÿ	80	Ÿ	Ě	⌘	⌘	⊖
●	90	Ÿ.	⊖	⌘ Ÿ	⌘	Ÿ	⌘	⊖

● 1914 | 1966 щ L ъ ѓ ҃ ҄ ҅ ҆ ҇ ҈ ҉

℄ ҃ ё Т ҇ ҆

36~

3 ✓


7 E 4

◆ $\ddot{E}$ 2


 $\ddot{E}$

3 3

T

 $\tilde{\eta} \quad \tilde{\eta} \quad \mathfrak{E}$ 

1975~1979
1982
1985~1986
1998 6 22
1995~1998 7 6
2002 8 18



4	1	GDP	2.63
---	---	-----	------

$$\frac{929}{2} \leq \frac{5700}{1}$$



300

24.8%

59.6%

	$2 \sim 4$ 800 $33 \quad /$ $4.5 \quad / \quad \cdot$	$8 \sim 12$ $15 \quad /$
--	--	-----------------------------

 $\delta \mathfrak{z}$




200

20

“ ”

1964

“ ” 200



ICT

flexible accumulation

“ ”

			2008
2012		350	21
7	5	2010	
175	450	/	
200	/		





2008

3

3.5

“ ”

12

350


3~5



250

“ 1 1 2 ”

500~600	2
550	
500	2
	day return



3

“ ”

“ ”

2

5


50

1975

1982

30

45




	1970	1982	1995
	1.0	1.3	1.85
	1.0	1.3	1.54


“ ” “ ”



2

1



轨道黄金链







2008

100

450

0.31

——

“


”—— 10



Nanbo Park

第二部分





’

a


Wⁱ

’

Nanbo Park

NK






40 000
80 000
8 000
300
4 700
31 300
167 000

76 600

243 800



5

8

30

Mori

15

“ ” “ 8 ”

“ ”


8




1



Y 1Φ 666.6 c








● X

1980

• Q

200


200~500



●


1998

50 298



100

84% 16%



3.24253 765

93%7%

2~3

1969

1988199120

16

3

●Γ√√

Π ∙ Γ η

H X ⊕ H α̃ ö ã α̃~

↑ ♥ ⊗



4

2 ————— New Jade Shopping Arcade

4 85 024

1000


35



20


“ ”

10



12

“ p ”



“ ”

“ ”

“ ”

“

” “ ” “ ”

1 “ ”

2

3

4

Ů

A

1

60

2008

CBD

2

1

“

”

“

”

“

”





60

CBD

2008

B

1.8

CBD

141

136



CBD

50~480



98

138

470

85%

- 日本 X 国 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本
- 日本 JR 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本
- 日本 1992 10 日本 1997 5 日本
- 日本 827 日本 日本
- 日本 81 800 日本



- ϑ Nagahori ϑ η Nagahori ϑ $\tilde{A}$ 860 ϵ
 • ϑ $\dot{E}$ ϑ ϑ X δ ϑ

- N
 $\cdot$ ϑ $\mathfrak{E}$
 ϑ Γ ϑ Γ
 $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ 730 Γ
 δ 9500 $\mathfrak{E}$
- ϑ Γ Γ Nagahori
 ϑ Γ $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ δ $\sim$
 Γ $\mathbb{L}$ $\mathbb{C}$ $\mathfrak{M}$ 100
 $\mathbb{A}$ $\mathfrak{E}$




Nagahori

- $\% \Pi$ $\mathfrak{E}$
- $\% \text{Nagahori}$ $\mathbb{L}$ $\mathfrak{E}$
- $\prime$ ϑ $\mathfrak{A}$ $\mathfrak{E}$
- $\mathbb{H}$ Θ $\prime$ $\mathfrak{E}$

Nagahori

- $\mathfrak{H}$ $\mathbb{L}$ $\mathfrak{E}$
- ε $\prime$ ΓX $\mathfrak{A}$ X $\mathbb{A}$ $\mathfrak{C}$ $\prime$ $\mathfrak{E}$

- δ 6 , ã ɔ ɛ
- ɔ 1000 50 6 δ ɔ Γ ɛ
- ɔ ɔ N h 』 Γ ɛ
- ɔ Γ , Γ 15 440 ɛ



300

310



1000

- 江 戸 JR Kawasaki stn. 川 崎 Keilyu
Kawasaki stn. 川 崎 駅
- 5.67 , δ . ∂ X ∂

Azalea 100



• ㄱ ㅐ ㅈ E ㅓ ㅈ ㅑ ㅈ e ㅏ ㅑ E ㅈ

 ㄱ ㅏ E ㅑ X ㅐ

• ㅑ ㅓ X ㅏ 12 ㅑ ㅓ

O mi ya ㅑ

 ㅑ JR ㅑ

ㅑ ㅑ E ㅓ N

 ㅑ ㅓ

 ㅑ ㅓ ㅏ

 ㅐ ㅐ







SAITAMA

[illegible]

$\bullet$ ϵ $\mathfrak{A}$ β $\mathbb{G}$ $\mathfrak{A} \sim$ $240, e, e$



● Whity 3 δⁿ G δ 3 3 Γ 6
 ● G δ X 1 5 3 3 1
 300 6
 ● 3 5 5 6 3X 3 3 20 | 30 9 2 4T
 38T 6 6





第三部分



TOD

TOD

“ ” “ Transit Oriented Development ”
TOD

· Peter Calthorpe TOD

“ ”
TOD
10


TOD

TOD

(density)

(diversity)

(design) pedestrian - oriented



TOD

“ ”

30

TOD

TOD

TOD

1

1

1

1


1

30

1

TOD

“ ”



第四部分



• é √ L O Å ¶
Θ √ ∅ ∅

5~10


very high

15

cluster


high

15



21

21



30

- Å Å Ъ1964 Е Ч ъ 3
- â 3 ɹ ƒ~ ǿ 6
- ê N N L ˆ
- ʌ E Ч Ɔ ɹ ɛ ẽ L щ
- ǣ E Ч L 30 ǔ 6

- $\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{b} \quad \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{d} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{i} \quad \mathfrak{e}$
- $\mathfrak{w} \quad \mathfrak{W} \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{w} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{d} \quad \mathfrak{W} \mathfrak{L} \mathfrak{e}$
- $\mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{E} \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{Q} \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{d} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z}$
- $\mathfrak{E} \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z} \quad \mathfrak{z}$

21

186

11

87

46

2003

5

980

21

2003

1000

350

30

3767

706

100

2000 5

——“

”

18



12

Omiya

JR

Toda Bijogi Miura

● $\ddot{W}$ $\tilde{U}$ $\acute{O}$ Π h

$\ddot{W}$ $\mathfrak{H}$ ' $\mathfrak{Z}$ $\square$ $\mathfrak{I}$ $\mathcal{O}$ $\mathfrak{e}$ $\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{e}$ $\mathfrak{I}$ $\%_8$

X ' $\mathfrak{H}$ $\mathfrak{E}$

ha		
	12.3	25.9
	0.5	1.1
	1.7	3.6
	0.1	0.1
	14.6	30.7
	32.3	68.2
	0.2	0.4
	0.3	0.7
	32.8	69.3
	47.4	100.0

- $L \approx \tilde{\omega} \approx 47.4 \text{ \AA} \approx \epsilon$
- $\Gamma \approx \epsilon \approx 5.7 \text{ e}$
 $1200 \text{ e} / \text{\AA} \approx \epsilon$
- $\Upsilon \approx 4 \text{ e}$

2000



290



30



“ ”

		1981		380
1988	400	406.9	1990	
515.3				
	200		80	
1981	9			
	6	1520	2500	



TGV

7%

(e)
20



“ ”

“ ”

“ ”

()

()

“ ”

()

“ ”


“ ” 2001 “ ”
6500 1400
100



2

7%

14%





第五部分



1863 1 10

TOD

146


1979	10	1	—		
1979~2007			7	91	
129.1				53	10
	8		35		12~37

1980~1990	3	31 366			
		290 480	245	40.00	-37.0
	38.6	251 015			
1991~1993	—	—	—	0.05	12.0
1994~2004	2	24 002			
	47.5	691 430	591	237.65	322.4
		644 640			
2005		28 651	2009	2014	
		131 997			
		103 130	7.3		
				450	

30 230
1980 55 300%
60% 25% 30%



12 1991

- ă ă
- Γ 7 6 ă
- Γ 7 ă ă
- 7 ă ă ă ă ă ă





1975-1994		1995-1999	2000		
1991			2000	10	5
			1600		60
4			76%		
"	"	"	"		
321.9	20	1999	1.	20	
			2.		50%
			3.		50%
			2002-2005		17.29
			"	"	



1.	1.
2.	2.
3.	3.
4.	4.
	5.
1.	1.
2.	2.
3.	" "
4.	
1.	1.
2.	2.
3.	3.



41	4 992	561 569	9 784	723
17	4 000	167 359	145 981	651
5	1 264	48 266	5 813	126
4	757	73 098	—	114
32	6 648	1 121 286	103 765	1 168
10	2 180	—	—	—
2	412	27 384	—	—
5	760	12 045	213 450	—
1	480	28 482	440 441	12
48	6 504	287 851	210 572	849
4	732	—	144 087	0
1	316	25 834	40 187	504
170	29 045	2 353 174	1 314 080	4 147

778 130	194 979	178		
3 079				
358 592	76 866	227		
224 817				
	561 569	412 369	124	270
	334 007	211 104	103	188
286 699				25
		1 141	2	
	167 359	111 763	59	
	287 851	195 605	161	415
1 648 238	1 622 631	935 061	854	898

1995~2010

2

	5.71			254 190	2	59 460	1	102 250	1 000	415 900
	13.54	608 026	5 866	231 778	1	82 750	1	167 472	2 200	1 090 026
	16.02	493 152	7 146	111 000	4	63 500	2			667 652
	5.4	245 700	3 500			46 170	1			291 870
	21.7	935 910	12 448	15 000	1	56 000	1	22 000	82 750	1 028 910
	62.4	2 282 788	28 960	611 968	8	257 480	6	291 722	90 450	3 494 358

	3.24	236 965	3 772	9	16 800	
	5.55	110 925	1 742	4 2	75 514	103 130
	1.8	138 652	2 130	6	3 500	
86	32.68	1 612 800	21 500	50	40 000	
	43.27	2 099 342	29 144		135 814	103 130

- 3
- δ L L d e
 - γ O ũ Γ e
- 4
- $\dot{\varphi}$ δ L δ γ 18 δ L
L d e
 - $\hat{e}$ 3 $\tilde{a}$ e
 - $\mathfrak{z}$ $\sim$ Q 5 $\Gamma^{\setminus}$ W γ e
13 ζ δ L $\hat{e}$ $\mathfrak{z}$ Γ 18 T
290 , T p 16 , e

- ϖ Γ γ 18 X 139 300 $\delta \check{A}$
 λ L $\sim$ $\Gamma \mathcal{A} \mathcal{Q} \check{A}$ $\mathcal{L}_b$ $\mathfrak{z}$ ∂
 Γ γ $\mathfrak{e}$

- N δ L $^d \mathcal{A}$ $\Theta \epsilon \mathbb{T}$
 $\mathfrak{e}$

- γ $\overline{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{M}$ ζ $\mathfrak{e}$

- $\gamma X \Theta^d$ Γ $\mathfrak{e}$

:

- δ γ 5T δ L $^d \mathcal{A}$ Θ
 $\epsilon \mathbb{T}$ $\mathbb{W}$ $\delta \mathfrak{z}$ $\mathcal{A}$ Θ . $\mathfrak{e}$



- $\# \mathcal{O}$ $\mathfrak{K}$ $\mathfrak{e}^h$ $\mathcal{O} \mathfrak{e}$

- Γ γ p 5T 327 , $\overline{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{M}$
 ζ 29 , $\mathbb{W} \epsilon \mathfrak{e}$

- γ $\Gamma X \mathcal{Q} \check{A}$ Γ 644 640 $\Gamma \mathcal{A}$
 691 430 $\mathcal{L}_b \mathfrak{H}$ $\Gamma \Theta^d$ Γ $\blacksquare$
 L γ $\Gamma \mathfrak{e}$

- δ L d λ Θ ϵ η ϵ
- Γ η $\bar{\eta}$ T ζ ϵ
- Γ η X Θ d Γ ϵ






20 60

208 524.8 271






2008

1 2 3 4

- 1~ Å 18.497 Å Å 16 T ε δ 122.616 κ
 W ε1997 6 28 5 ε1999 6 28 1
 ~ Å Γ ε
- 2~ Å 23.265 Å Å 20 T 119.59 κ W ε
 2002 12 29 ρ W Q â L ε2003
 6 Å ε



- 3~ Å 36.33Å ã 18 159.05 к Ў ё2005
12 26 3~ 2006 12 30 Å ё
- 4~ Å 39.81Å ã 15 N ё2005 12 26
h , 2006 12 30
ё J Љ2007 6 28 ё

“H



1994~2000		2000~2004	2005~2010
1	27		1

1 1992

1

27 1 34.8

“ ”

200

27

32

200

1

122.616



27

3

7

2

2004

3

4


38

19%

22

1

1994



- 1.
- 2.

2

70%

2 3 4 5

134.09


2001 2003
56% 2004



2004
1 2
2000 53%
2003 2004



- Ꞥ Γ Θ H₃ ε
- Ꞥ Γ H₃ Ъ ε



- 1.
- 2.
- 1.
- 2.

2000 2004


“ ” 3 4 5

2005~010 100

“ ”

1





- 1.
- 2.
- 1.
- 2.
- 1.
- 2.



2008 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 8

7 10 11 3 2008

94 11 306.5

2008 12 31 430.7 2006

180 243

70%



2010

2010 13 510

310

17 4 8 5
 810

 1
 1

9 1.5

160

90%

“ ”

1

1995~1997

1

1995


1996


90%

1000


700

“ ”





第五部分



2009 6



- 1 . M .4 2002.
- 2 .
- 3 J . .2000 3 1 :55-58.
- 4 . “ + ” R .
- 5 J . .2004 5 42.
- 6 J . .2004 4 27.
- 7 J . .2005 2 50.
- 8 J . .2001 2 21-23.
- 9 J . .2001 5 .
- 10 J . .2001 1 .
- 11 M 2001.
- 12 J . .2004 2 33.
- 13 J . 2001 16 1 5-9.
- 14 J . .2000 1 .
- 15 M . 2001.
- 16 J . 2002 24 1 97-103.
- 17 J 2002 5 .
- 18 J . 2002 5 1 77-81.
- 19 . — .2 . 2007.
- 20 An Application of Spatial Econometrics J . International Real Estate Review 8 1 1-26.
- 21 Alan T Rex D. Public transportation access J . Transportation Research 1998 3 5 319-328.
- 22 Aoki Y Osaragi T Ishizaka K. An Interpolating Model for Land-price Data with Transportation Costs and Urban Activities[J] . Environment and Planning B :planning and design 1994 21.
- 23 Calthorpe Peter The Next American Metropolis: Ecology Community and the American Dream M . New York: Princeton Architetural Press 1993.
- 24 Cervero R. Landis J. Twenty years of the bay area rapid transit system: Land use and development impacts. Transportation Research 31 4 :309-333.1997.

- 25 Cervero Robert. Rail transit and development J . Journal of the American Planning Association 1994 60 1 Association 1.
- 26 Douglas R. Campion Thomas F Larwin John W. Schumanri Richard P Wolsfeld Light Rail Transit Future Opportunities and Changes.
- 27 Forman R Deblinger R. The ecological road-effect zone of a Massachusetts USA suburban highway J . Conservation Biologym 2000 14 36-46.
- 28 Gregory L. Thompson Tom Matoff Urban Rail in 21st Century America.
- 29 LUCA B TEJO S Cities on rails M London E & FN Spon 1998.
- 30 Kim Jin. Ming Zhang. Determining Transit 's Impact on Seoul Commercial Land Values 2005.
- 31 Huang W. The effects of transportation infrastructure on nearby property Values: a review of the literature J . Institute of urban and regional development 1994.
- 32 Keefer L. A Review of Nine UMTA-Assisted Joint Development Project M . Washington: Urban Mass transportation Administration 1994.
- 33 Knaap Gerrit J. Chengri Ding and Lewis D. Hopkins. 2001. Do plans matter? The effects of light rail plans on landvalues in station area J . Journal of Planning Education and Research 21 1 32-39.
- 34 PATRICK S M Transportation economic theory and practice a case stuay approach M BlackweU Publishing 2001.
- 35 Proter Douglas R. Transit-focused Development: A Synthesis of Research and Experience. Transit Cooperative Research Program Report 20 M . Washington DC: Transportation Research Board 1998.
- 36 ROBERT C The transit metropolis-a global inquiry M Island Press 1998
- 37 Transportation research board national research council A valuation of the Relationships Between Transit and Urban Form J . Research Results Digest June 1995.